arXiv:1408.0447v1 [math.AP] 3 Aug 2014

BLOW-UP OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO WAVE EQUATIONS IN HIGH SPACE DIMENSIONS

MOHAMMAD RAMMAHA, HIROYUKI TAKAMURA, HIROSHI UESAKA, AND KYOUHEI WAKASA

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the Cauchy problem for the semilinear wave equation:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u = F(u) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty),$$

where the space dimension $n \geq 2$, $F(u) = |u|^p$ or $F(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ with $p > 1$. Here, the Cauchy data are non-zero and non-compactly supported. Our results on the blow-up of positive radial solutions (not necessarily radial in low dimensions $n = 2, 3$) generalize and extend the results of Takamura [19] and Takamura, Uesaka and Wakasa [21]. The main technical difficulty in the paper lies in obtaining the lower bounds for the free solution when both initial position and initial velocity are non-identically zero in even space dimensions.

1. Introduction

We consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u = F(u) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = f(x), \ u_t(x, 0) = g(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $n \geq 2$, $u = u(x, t)$ is a scalar unknown function of space-time variables, and $F(u) = |u|^p$ or $F(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$, with $p > 1$. The scenario in one space-dimension is fairly simple and one can always find general conditions on the initial data to prove the blow up of classical solution. Thus, we only consider problem (1.1) in high space dimensions, $n \geq 2$.

For the case of compactly supported initial data $\{f, g\}$ and when $F(u) = |u|^p$, we recall Strauss' conjecture. Namely, there exists a critical number $p_0(n)$ such that (1.1) has a global in time solution if the initial data are *sufficiently small* and $p > p_0(n)$; and (1.1) has no global solutions if $1 < p \leq p_0(n)$ and the initial data are positive in some sense. It was conjectured that $p_0(n)$ is the positive root of the equation

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35L05, 35L20, Secondary: 58J45.

Key words and phrases. wave equations, blow-up, the Riemann function.

The second author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research (C) (No. 40241781), JSPS.

$(n-1)p^2-(n+1)p-2=0$. That is,

$$p_0(n)=\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\Big[n+1+\sqrt{n^2+10n-7}\Big].$$

We note here that $p_0(n)$ comes from the integrability of a certain weight function in the iteration argument for (1.1).

The conjecture was first verified by John [7] for $n=3$, but not for $p=p_0(3)$. Glassey [5, 6] verified the conjecture for $n=2$, but not for $p=p_0(2)$. The critical exponents $p=p_0(2)$ and $p=p_0(3)$ were proven by Schaeffer [17]. In high space dimensions, $n\geq 4$, the subcritical case $1<p<p_0(n)$ was handled by Sideris [18], and later by Rammaha [16] who provided a simplified proof. The super critical case $p>p_0(n)$ was proven by Georgiev, Lindblad, and Sogge [4]. Finally, the critical case $p=p_0(n)$, $n\geq 4$ was handled by Yordanov and Zhang [25], and independently by Zhou [26]. Thus, Strauss' conjecture has been completely resolved and all of the cited results above on Strauss' conjecture are summarized in the following table:

	$1<p<p_0(n)$	$p=p_0(n)$	$p>p_0(n)$
$n=2$	[6]	[17]	[5]
$n=3$	[7]	[17]	[7]
$n\geq 4$	[18]	[25], [26] (independently)	[4]

However, the scenario is somewhat different when the initial data are not compactly supported and decaying slowly at infinity. In fact, problem (1.1) may have no global solution even for the supercritical case ($p>1$ is arbitrarily large). Indeed, the pioneering results on non-compactly supported initial data by Asakura [2] strongly suggests the validity of the following statement:

There exists a critical decay exponent $\kappa_0>0$ such that (1.1) has a global solution, provided $\kappa\geq\kappa_0$, $p>p_0(n)$ and the initial data are sufficiently small, yet (1.1) has no global solutions, provided $0<\kappa<\kappa_0$, $p>1$, and the initial data are positive in some sense. (1.2)

It is remarkable that (see for instance [2]) the critical decay exponent κ_0 is independent of the space dimension n and it is given by:

$$\kappa_0=\frac{2}{p-1},\quad p>1. \tag{1.3}$$

As shown in [2] and later by Takamura, Uesaka and Wakasa [21] that there exists a constant $L>0$ such that (1.1) has no global solution if the initial data $\{f,\,g\}$ satisfy:

$$f(x)\equiv 0\quad\text{and}\quad g(x)\geq\frac{\phi(|x|)}{(1+|x|)^{1+\kappa}}, \tag{1.4}$$

or

$$f(x)>0,\ \Delta f(x)+F(f(x))\geq\frac{\phi(|x|)}{(1+|x|)^{2+\kappa}}\quad\text{and}\quad g(x)\equiv 0, \tag{1.5}$$

for all $|x| \geq L$, with

$$0 < \kappa < \kappa_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(x) \equiv \text{positive const.}, \tag{1.6}$$

or

$$\kappa = \kappa_0, \quad \phi \text{ is positive, monotonously increasing and } \lim_{|x|\to\infty} \phi(|x|) = \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

On the other hand (see for instance the results in [13]), (1.1) has a global solution provided

$$(1+|x|)^{1+\kappa}\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{1+|x|} + \sum_{0<|\alpha|\leq[n/2]+2} |\nabla_x^\alpha f(x)| + \sum_{|\beta|\leq[n/2]+1} |\nabla_x^\beta g(x)|\right) \tag{1.8}$$

is sufficiently small, $\kappa \geq \kappa_0$ and $p > p_0(n)$, where $n = 2, 3$. In high odd space dimensions $n = 2m+1$, $m \geq 2$, Kubo's results [9] shows that the radially symmetric version of (1.1) has a global solution, provided

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2} |f^{(j)}(r)|\langle r\rangle^{\kappa+j} + \sum_{j=0}^{1} |g^{(j)}(r)|\langle r\rangle^{1+\kappa+j} \tag{1.9}$$

is sufficiently small, where $\langle r\rangle = \sqrt{1+r^2}$ and $r = |x|$. A similar result was obtained by Kubo and Kubota [11] in the case of even space dimensions $n = 2m$, $m \geq 2$, but under a more stringent condition than (1.9) near $r = 0$. We note that the similar result for the equation with the potential has obtained by Karageorgis [8].

When $n = 3$, Asakura [2] was the first to prove the nonexistence result under the validity of (1.4) or (1.5). In addition, Asakura [2] resolved the existence part under assumption (1.8). The critical case ($\kappa = \kappa_0$) and $n = 3$ was handled by Kubota [13] with the assumption (1.8), and also independently by Tsutaya [24]. For $n = 2$, the nonexistence part with (1.4), (1.5) was verified by Agemi and Takamura [1], and the existence part was verified by Kubota [13], and both parts by Tsutaya [22, 23]. We note here that the all of existence results mentioned above were proven without the presence of $(1+|x|)^{-1}$ in the first term of (1.8). However, Kubota and Mochizuki [12], in their work to prove the existence of the scattering operator, were the first to introduce (1.8) when $n = 2$. In higher space dimensions and for radial solutions, the existence part with (1.9) was handled by Kubo [9], Kubo and Kubota [10, 11], and the nonexistence part with (1.4) and (1.5) was verified by Takamura [19]. Another relevant nonexistence result in high dimensions $n \geq 2$ is due to Kurokawa and Takamura [14]. In view of (1.9), we note that the final form of (1.8) will be

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\leq[n/2]+2} (1+|x|)^{\kappa+|\alpha|}|\nabla_x^\alpha f(x)| + \sum_{|\beta|\leq[n/2]+1} (1+|x|)^{\kappa+1+|\beta|}|\nabla_x^\beta g(x)|. \tag{1.10}$$

All of the cited results on non-compactly supported initial data are summarized in the following tables.

Global existence	$\kappa = \kappa_0$	$\kappa > \kappa_0$
$n = 2$	[13], [22] independently	[13], [23] independently
$n = 3$	[13], [24] independently	[2]
$n \geq 4$	[9]	[10] and [11]

Blow-up	(1.4)	(1.5)
(1.6)	[1], [23] independently for $n = 2$ [2] for $n = 3$ [19] for $n \geq 4$	[20]
(1.7)	[14]	[21]

Thus far, all of the cited nonexistence results above were proven with zero initial position, except for Takamura, Uesaka and Wakasa [20, 21] who proved a nonexistence result under the nonzero initial position with the assumption (1.5) by differentiating (1.1) with respect to time.

In this paper we prove a blow-up result with sharp decay for $f \not\equiv 0$ and $g \not\equiv 0$. The main goal of this work is to obtain the required point-wise lower bounds for the *free* solution of the wave equations by making full use of the formulas by Rammaha [15, 16] in high dimensions. In low space dimensions, one can obtain such lower bounds solutions as in Caffarelli and Friedman [3]. However, it is highly nontrivial to obtain the mentioned lower bounds for the free solution when both initial data are non-zero, particularly in high even dimensions. We overcome the main technical difficulty in high even dimensions by introducing a special change of variables given in (5.7).

2. Main results

In high space dimensions $n \geq 4$, we restrict our analysis to radial solutions. More precisely, we consider the following radially symmetric version of (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \dfrac{n-1}{r} u_r - u_{rr} = F(u), \text{ in } (0,\infty) \times [0,\infty), \\ u(r,0) = f(r), \ u_t(r,0) = g(r), \text{ in } (0,\infty). \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Henceforth, our assumptions (see Assumption 2.1 below) in high dimensions $n \geq 4$ are in reference of the Cauchy problem (2.1).

In order to state our main results, we begin with the assumptions on the initial data and the parameters.

Assumption 2.1.

- ***The nonlinearity:*** $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ *satisfying*

$$F(s) \geq As^p, \quad \textit{for} \ \ s \geq 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $p > 1$ *and* $A > 0$.

- ***Low space dimensions,*** $n = 2, 3$***:*** *There exists a constant* $R > 0$ *such that the initial data satisfying:* $f \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and such that*

$$\begin{cases} f(x) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \geq R, \\ \dfrac{f(x)}{1+|x|} - |\nabla f(x)| + g(x) \geq \dfrac{C_0}{(1+|x|)^{1+\kappa}}, \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \geq R, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

for some positive constants C_0 *and* κ*.*

- ***High space dimensions,*** $n \geq 4$***:*** *There exists a constant* $R > 0$ *such that* $f \in C^2(0, \infty)$ *and* $g \in C^1(0, \infty)$ *satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} f(r) \geq \dfrac{C_1}{(1+r)^\kappa}, \ g(r) > 0 \\ -C_{1,m}\dfrac{f(r)}{r} + g(r) > 0, \quad \text{for} \quad r \geq R, \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} f(r), g(r) > 0 \\ -C_{1,m}\dfrac{f(r)}{r} + g(r) \geq \dfrac{C_2}{(1+r)^{1+\kappa}}, \quad \text{for} \quad r \geq R, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

if $n = 2m + 1$*,*

$$\begin{cases} f(r), g(r) > 0 \\ -C_{2,m}\dfrac{f(r)}{r} - |f'(r)| + \dfrac{1}{2}g(r) \geq \dfrac{C_3}{(1+r)^{1+\kappa}}, \quad \text{for} \quad r \geq R, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

if $n = 2m$*, where* $m = 2, 3, \cdots$*,* C_1*,* C_2 *and* C_3 *are positive constants, and the constants* $C_{1,m}$ *and* $C_{2,m}$ *are given by*

$$C_{1,m} = m(m-1), \quad C_{2,m} = m - \frac{3}{8} + \frac{5\zeta_m(m-1)^2}{3},$$

where $\zeta_m > 0$ *is as determined in Lemma 5.1.*

- ***Parameters:*** $0 < \kappa < \kappa_0$*, where* $\kappa_0 = \dfrac{2}{p-1}$*.*

Our first result is on the finite-time blow up of classical solutions in low dimensions, without imposing radial symmetry.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume the validity of Assumption 2.1 with* $n = 2$ *or* $n = 3$*, and* u *is a solution of (1.1). Then* u *cannot exist globally in time.*

Our second result addresses the finite-time blow up of radial solutions to the Cauchy problem (2.1).

Theorem 2.3. *Assume the validity of Assumption 2.1 with* $n \geq 4$*, and* u *is a solution of (2.1). Then* u *cannot exist globally in time.*

Remark 2.1. Let us note here that our assumption on the initial data in (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) are fairly reasonable in view of the slowly decaying initial data (see for instance (1.9) or remark 2.1 in [20]). In fact, there is a large family of the slowly decaying initial data that satisfies the general conditions in Assumption 2.1.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we illustrate our iteration schemes, which are sufficient to prove Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. Section 4 is devoted to the treatment of high odd dimensions. In Section 5, we derive the required lower bound in high even dimensions, which is the more technical part of the paper. Finally, Section 6 gives a brief treatment of the low dimensions $n = 2, 3$.

3. Iteration Scheme

In this section, we introduce our iteration scheme that allows us to prove the Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, following the well-known arguments in [7] or [19]. Throughout the paper, we define δ (which depends of the space dimensions n) by:

$$\delta := \max\Big\{\frac{2}{\eta_m},\ \frac{2}{\zeta_m}\Big\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\eta_m,\ \zeta_m > 0$ are given below in Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 5.1; respectively.

Lemma 3.1. *Let u be a solution of (2.1) where $n = 2m+1$ or $n = 2m$, $m = 2, 3, 4\cdots$. Then, with the validity of Assumption 2.1, we have:*

$$u(r,t) \geq \frac{C_4 t}{(1+r+t)^{1+\kappa}} + \frac{1}{8r^m}\int_0^t d\tau \int_{r-t+\tau}^{r+t-\tau} \lambda^m F(u(\lambda,\tau))d\lambda, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $(r,t) \in \Sigma_1$, where

$$\Sigma_1 := \big\{(r,t) \in (0,\infty)^2 \ :\ r - t \geq \max\{R,\ \delta t\} > 0\big\} \tag{3.3}$$

and C_4 is a positive constant.

Lemma 3.2. *Let u be a solution of (1.1) with $n = 2$ or $n = 3$, and Assumption 2.1 is valid. Then we have:*

$$u(x,t) \geq \frac{C_5 t}{(1+|x|+t)^{1+\kappa}} + \int_0^t R(F(u(\cdot,\tau))|x, t-\tau)d\tau, \tag{3.4}$$

for all $(x,t) \in \Sigma_2$, where C_5 is a positive constant,

$$R(\phi|x,t) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{t}{4\pi}\displaystyle\int_{|\omega|=1} \phi(x+t\omega)dS_\omega & \text{for } n = 3, \\ \dfrac{1}{2\pi}\displaystyle\int_0^t \frac{\rho d\rho}{\sqrt{t^2-\rho^2}} \int_{|\omega|=1} \phi(x+\rho\omega)dS_\omega & \text{for } n = 2, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\Sigma_2 := \{(x,t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times (0,\infty) \ :\ |x| - t \geq \max\{R, t-1\}\}. \tag{3.6}$$

As we mentioned earlier, by appealing to iteration arguments in [7] or [19] along with Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, one can prove the Theorems 2.2 and Theorems 2.3.

The proofs of the above lemmas are provided below. First, let u^0 denotes the free solution of the wave equation. More precisely, u^0 is the solution of the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} u^0_{tt} - \Delta u^0 = 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,\infty), \\ u^0(x,0) = f(x),\ u^0_t(x,0) = g(x), \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

if $n = 2$ or $n = 3$ (no radial symmetry is assumed), and for $n \geq 4$, u^0 is the solution of the following radially symmetric version of (3.7):

$$\begin{cases} u^0_{tt} - \dfrac{n-1}{r} u^0_r - u^0_{rr} = 0, \text{ in } (0,\infty) \times [0,\infty), \\ u^0(r,0) = f(r),\ u^0_t(r,0) = g(r), \text{ in } (0,\infty). \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Then, we have the following results.

Proposition 3.3. *Let u^0 be the solution of (3.8) with $n = 2m+1$ or $n = 2m$, $m = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$. With the validity of Assumption 2.1, then u^0 satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(r,t) \geq \frac{1}{2r^m}\Big\{ f(r+t)(r+t)^m + f(r-t)(r-t)^m \Big\} \\ + \frac{1}{4r^m} \int_{r-t}^{r+t} \lambda^m \left(-C_{1,m} \frac{f(\lambda)}{\lambda} + g(\lambda) \right) d\lambda \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for all $(r,t) \in \Sigma_1$, if $n = 2m+1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(r,t) \geq \frac{1}{\pi r^{m-1}} \int_0^t \frac{\rho d\eta}{\sqrt{t^2-\rho^2}} \int_{r-\rho}^{r+\rho} \left\{ -2C_{2,m} \frac{f(\lambda)}{\lambda} - 2|f'(\lambda)| + g(\lambda) \right\} \\ \times \frac{\lambda^m d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 - (r-\rho)^2}\sqrt{(r+\rho)^2 - \lambda^2}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for all $(r,t) \in \Sigma_1$, if $n = 2m$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $n = 2$ or $n = 3$, u^0 be the solution of (3.7) and Assumption 2.1 is valid. Then, u^0 satisfies:*

$$u^0(x,t) \geq \frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} \left\{ \frac{f(x+t\omega)}{1+|x+t\omega|} - |\nabla f(x+t\omega)| + g(x+t\omega) \right\} dS_\omega \tag{3.11}$$

for all $(x,t) \in \Sigma_2$, *if* $n = 3$,

$$u^0(x,t) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^t \frac{\rho d\rho}{\sqrt{t^2-\rho^2}} \times \int_{|\omega|=1} \left\{ \frac{f(x+\rho\omega)}{1+|x+\rho\omega|} - |\nabla f(x+\rho\omega)| + g(x+\rho\omega) \right\} dS_\omega \tag{3.12}$$

for all $(x,t) \in \Sigma_2$, *if* $n = 2$.

It is important to note here that the proofs of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 follow from Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, and by appealing to the proofs of Lemma 2.1 in [14] or Lemma 2.6 and lemma 2.9 in [19]. For this very reason, the remaining parts of the paper are devoted only to the proofs of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4.

4. High Odd Dimensions: $n = 5, 7, 9, \cdots$

Proof of proposition 3.3 in $n = 2m+1$, $m = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$. According to formula (6a) in [15], we have

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(r,t) = \ & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \frac{1}{2r^m} \int_{|r-t|}^{r+t} \lambda^m f(\lambda) P_{m-1}\left(\Theta(\lambda,r,t)\right) d\lambda \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2r^m} \int_{|r-t|}^{r+t} \lambda^m g(\lambda) P_{m-1}\left(\Theta(\lambda,r,t)\right) d\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where P_k denotes Legendre polynomials of degree k defined by

$$P_k(z) := \frac{1}{2^k k!} \frac{d^k}{dz^k}(z^2-1)^k, \tag{4.2}$$

and $\Theta = \Theta(\lambda, r, t)$ is given by

$$\Theta(\lambda,r,t) = \frac{\lambda^2 + r^2 - t^2}{2r\lambda}. \tag{4.3}$$

The following auxiliary lemma will be needed in the derivation of the required estimate in this case.

Lemma 4.1. *For* $m = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$, *there exists a positive constant* η_m, *depending only on* m, *such that*

$$P_{m-1}(z) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \textit{and} \quad 0 < P'_{m-1}(z) \leq \frac{1}{2} m(m-1), \quad \textit{for} \quad \frac{1}{1+\eta_m} \leq z \leq 1. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case of $m = 2$. Then, we easily obtain (4.4) by putting $\eta_m = 1$, since $P_1(z) = z$. Now, suppose that $m \geq 3$. Then, by direct computations, we have the following properties of P_k:

$$P'_{m-1}(1) = \frac{1}{2} m(m-1) > 0, \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$P''_{m-1}(1) = \frac{1}{4}(m-1)(m-2)\binom{m+1}{m-1} > 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Since $P_1(z) = z$ and $P_m(1) = 1$, then it follows from (4.5), (4.6) and the continuity of $P_{m-1}(z)$, $P'_{m-1}(z)$ and $P''_{m-1}(z)$ that there exists a $\eta_m > 0$ such that (4.4) is valid. □

To use the lemma 4.1 with Θ which is a variable of P_{m-1} or P'_{m-1} in (4.1), we need following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let Θ be the function defined by (4.3). Then, Θ satisfies*

$$\Theta(\lambda, r, t) \geq \frac{\delta}{\delta+2} \quad \textit{for} \quad r - t \leq \lambda \leq r + t \tag{4.7}$$

provided $(r, t) \in \Sigma_1$.

Proof. Its easy to see that

$$\Theta(\lambda, r, t) \geq \frac{(r-t)^2 + r^2 - t^2}{2r(r+t)} = \frac{r-t}{r+t} \geq \frac{\delta}{\delta+2}$$

for $r - t \leq \lambda \leq r + t$ and $(r, t) \in \Sigma_1$. □

Let us first note that (4.1) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(r,t) = \; & \frac{1}{2r^m}\left\{f(r+t)(r+t)^m + f(r-t)(r-t)^m\right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2r^m}\int_{r-t}^{r+t} \lambda^m f(\lambda) P'_{m-1}\left(\Theta(\lambda, r, t)\right)\left(-\frac{t}{r\lambda}\right) d\lambda \\ & + \frac{1}{2r^m}\int_{r-t}^{r+t} \lambda^m g(\lambda) P_{m-1}\left(\Theta(\lambda, r, t)\right) d\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Thanks to lemma 4.1, lemma 4.2 and the assumption (2.4) or (2.5), then (3.9) holds, for all $(r, t) \in \Sigma_1$. Hence, the proof of the proposition 3.3 in odd space dimension $n = 2m + 1,\ m = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$ is complete. □

Completion of the Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let us note here that the first term in (3.2) of lemma 3.1 is obtained as follows. Thanks to (2.4), then (3.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(r,t) \; & \geq \frac{C_1}{2(1+r+t)^\kappa}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{r-t}{r}\right)^m\right\} \\ & \geq \frac{C_1}{2}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^m\right\}\frac{t}{(1+r+t)^{1+\kappa}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for all $(r, t) \in \Sigma_1$. Since $\eta_m \leq 1$ for all $m = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$ such that $r \geq 3t$ holds. Hence, the first term in (3.2) valid for $C_4 = C_1 2^{-1}\left\{1 + (3/2)^m\right\}$. Next, we shall show by

using the assumption (2.5). Then, (3.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(r,t) &\geq \frac{C_2}{4r^m}\int_{r-t}^{r+t}\lambda^m(1+\lambda)^{-\kappa-1}d\lambda \\ &\geq \frac{C_2 t}{4(1+r+t)^{\kappa+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

for all $(r,t)\in\Sigma_1$. Hence, the first term in (3.2) valid for $C_4 = C_2/4$. □

5. High Even Dimensions: $n = 4, 6, 8\cdots$

Proof of proposition 3.3 in $n = 2m$, $m = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$. According to formula (6b) in [15], we have

$$u^0(r,t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{2}{\pi r^{m-1}} I(r,t,u^0(\cdot,0)) + \frac{2}{\pi r^{m-1}} I(r,t,u_t^0(\cdot,0)), \tag{5.1}$$

where,

$$I(r,t,\psi(\cdot)) = \int_0^t \frac{\rho d\rho}{\sqrt{t^2-\rho^2}}\int_{|r-\rho|}^{r+\rho}\frac{\lambda^m\psi(\lambda)T_{m-1}\left(\Theta(\lambda,r,\rho)\right)d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda^2-(r-\rho)^2}\sqrt{(r+\rho)^2-\lambda^2}} \tag{5.2}$$

and as usual, in (5.2) T_k denotes Tschebyscheff polynomials of degree k defined by

$$T_k(z) := \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k-1)!!}(1-z^2)^{1/2}\frac{d^k}{dz^k}(1-z^2)^{k-(1/2)} \tag{5.3}$$

The following auxiliary lemma will be needed.

Lemma 5.1. *For $m = 2, 3, 4, \cdots$, there exists a positive constant ζ_m, depending only on m, such that*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \leq T_{m-1}(z) \leq 1, \\ 0 < T'_{m-1}(z) \leq (m-1)^2, \end{cases} \tag{5.4}$$

for all $\dfrac{1}{1+\zeta_m} \leq z \leq 1$.

Proof. Let us first consider the case of $m = 2$. Then, we easily obtain (5.4) since $T_1(z) = z$ and we may take $\zeta_m = 1$. Now, let $m \geq 3$. Since $T_{m-1}(1) = 1$, then the first assertion is trivial as long as $\zeta_m > 0$ is sufficiently small. For $m \geq 2$, we recall that the Tchebysheff polynomial $T_{m-1}(z)$ satisfies the ODE:

$$(1-z^2)T''_{m-1}(z) - zT'_{m-1}(z) + (m-1)^2 T_{m-1}(z) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |z| \leq 1. \tag{5.5}$$

Thus, (5.5) yields

$$\begin{cases} T'_{m-1}(1) = (m-1)^2, \\ T''_{m-1}(1) = \frac{1}{3}m(m-2)(m-1)^2, \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

for $m \geq 3$. Hence, the second assertion of the lemma follows from continuity $T'_{m-1}(z)$ and $T''_{m-1}(z)$. $\square$

In order to obtain the desired lower bound in high even dimensions, we shall use the following change variables in (5.2): For $(r,t) \in \Sigma_1$, we introduce:

$$\begin{cases} \xi = \dfrac{r+\rho-\lambda}{2\rho} & \text{in } \lambda\text{-integral,} \\ \rho = t\eta & \text{in } \rho\text{-integral.} \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

Then, with this change of variables then (5.2) reduces to:

$$I(r,t,\psi(\cdot)) = \frac{t}{2}\int_0^1 \frac{\eta d\eta}{\sqrt{1-\eta^2}} \int_0^1 \frac{K(r,t,\eta,\xi)\psi(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi}\sqrt{1-\xi}} T_{m-1}\left(\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi)\right) d\xi. \tag{5.8}$$

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} I(r,t,\psi(\cdot)) = & \\ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \frac{\eta d\eta}{\sqrt{1-\eta^2}} \int_0^1 \Big\{ & K(r,t,\eta,\xi)\psi(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)T_{m-1}(\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi)) \\ & + t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\{K(r,t,\eta,\xi)\psi(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)T_{m-1}(\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi))\right\}\Big\} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi}\sqrt{1-\xi}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where,

$$K(r,t,\eta,\xi) = \frac{(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)^m}{\sqrt{r+t\eta-t\eta\xi}\sqrt{r-\xi t\eta}} \tag{5.10}$$

and

$$\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi) := \Theta\left(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi, r, t\eta\right) = \frac{(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)^2 + r^2 - t^2\eta^2}{2r(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}. \tag{5.11}$$

The following proposition is crucial to the rest of the proof.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $m = 2,3,4\cdots$. Assume that $w \in C^1((0,\infty))$ and $w(y) > 0$ for $y \geq R$, where R is as given in (2.6). Then, for $0 \leq \xi, \eta \leq 1$ and $(r,t) \in \Sigma_1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\{K(r,t,\eta,\xi)w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)T_{m-1}(\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi))\right\} \\ & \quad \geq -\left\{E_m \frac{w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi} + |w'(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)|\right\} K(r,t,\eta,\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

where E_m is defined by

$$E_m = m + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{5\zeta_m(m-1)^2}{3}.$$

Proof. By direct computation, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big\{K(r,t,\eta,\xi)w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)T_{m-1}(\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi))\Big\}\\&=K(r,t,\eta,\xi)\Big\{\eta\left\{I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4\right\}T_{m-1}(\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi))+I_5\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&=m(1-2\xi)\frac{w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi},\\I_2&=(1-2\xi)w'(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi),\\I_3&=-\frac{1}{2}(1-\xi)\frac{w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{r+t\eta-t\eta\xi},\\I_4&=\frac{\xi}{2}\frac{w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{r-\xi t\eta},\\I_5&=w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)T'_{m-1}(\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi))\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi).\end{aligned}\tag{5.13}$$

Estimates for terms involving $\mathbf{I_1},\cdots,\mathbf{I_4}$: For $0\le\xi\le\frac{1}{2}$ and and $0\le\eta\le1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4)T'_{m-1}(\Theta)&\ge\eta\left(\frac{m-1}{2}+\frac{(3-4m)\xi}{4}\right)\frac{w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi}\\&\quad-|w'(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)|\\&\ge-\frac{1}{8}\frac{w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi}-|w'(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)|,\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

where we have used Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 4.2 with $\lambda=r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi$ and $t=t\eta$ for $0\le\eta,\xi\le1$ and $(r,t)\in\Sigma_1$.

Similarly, for $\frac{1}{2}\le\xi\le1$, $0\le\eta\le1$ and $(r,t)\in\Sigma_1$, then Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 4.2, yield:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4)T'_{m-1}(\Theta)&\ge-\left(m+\frac{1}{8}\right)\frac{w(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{r+\eta-2t\eta\xi}\\&\quad-|w'(r+t\eta-2\eta\xi)|.\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

Estimates for the term involving $\mathbf{I_5}$:

In order to obtain the proper estimate for this term, we first aim to prove the following property:

For $0\le\xi,\eta\le1$, $(r,t)\in\Sigma_1$ and $m\ge2$, we have

$$-\frac{5\zeta_m}{3(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}\le\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi)\le0.\tag{5.16}$$

Indeed, direct computation shows

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi)=\frac{\eta N(r,t,\eta,\xi)}{2r(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)^2}, \tag{5.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}N(r,t,\eta,\xi)=&\left\{2(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)(1-2\xi)-2t\eta\right\}(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)\\&-\left\{(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)^2+r^2-t^2\eta^2\right\}(1-2\xi).\end{aligned}$$

However, a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}N(r,t,\eta,\xi)=&-8t^2\eta^2\xi^3+(12t^2\eta^2+8rt\eta)\xi^2-(8rt\eta+4t^2\eta^2)\xi\\=&-4t\eta\xi(\xi-1)(2t\eta\xi-(2r+t\eta)).\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Since $\dfrac{2r+t\eta}{2t\eta}>1$, for $(r,t)\in\Sigma_1$, then for each fixed η it follows from (5.17) and (5.18) that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi)\le 0$.

In order to prove the lower bound for (5.16), we compute the minimum value of $N(r,t,\eta,\xi)$ as a function of $\xi\in[0,1]$; but for fixed η. Indeed,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}N(r,t,\eta,\xi)=-24t^2\eta^2\xi^2+4t\eta(6t\eta+4r)\xi-4t\eta(2r+t\eta)=0$$

if and only if,

$$\xi=\frac{(3t\eta+2r)\pm\sqrt{3t^2\eta^2+4r^2}}{6t\eta}.$$

Put

$$\xi_+=\frac{(3t\eta+2r)+\sqrt{3t^2\eta^2+4r^2}}{6t\eta},\quad \xi_-=\frac{(3t\eta+2r)-\sqrt{3t^2\eta^2+4r^2}}{6t\eta}.$$

Obviously, we have $\xi_+>1$ and $0<\xi_-<1$, for all $(r,t)\in\Sigma_1$ and fixed η. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}N(r,t,\eta,\xi_-)\ =&\frac{1}{27t\eta}(3t\eta+2r-\sqrt{3t^2\eta^2+4r^2})(\sqrt{3t^2\eta^2+4r^2}+3t\eta-2r)\\&\times(-4r-\sqrt{3t^2\eta^2+4r^2}).\end{aligned}$$

Here, it is important to note that the following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{3t\eta+2r-\sqrt{3t^2\eta^2+4r^2}}{t\eta}\le 3+\frac{2r}{t\eta}-\frac{2r}{t\eta}=3,$$

$$\sqrt{3t^2\eta^2+4r^2}+3t\eta-2r\le\sqrt{4t^2\eta^2+8rt\eta+4r^2}+3t\eta-2r\le 5t\eta,$$

$$-4r-\sqrt{3\tau^2\eta^2+4r^2}\ge-4r-2(r+t\eta)\ge-6(r+t\eta).$$

for $t,\eta\ge 0$. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Theta(r,t,\eta,\xi)\ge-\frac{5}{3r}\cdot\frac{t\eta(r+t\eta)}{(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)^2}.$$

for all $0 \le \xi, \eta \le 1$ and $(r,t) \in \Sigma_1$. Finally, we note that

$$r + t\eta \le 2r \quad \text{and} \quad r + t\eta - 2t\eta\xi \ge r - t\eta \ge r - t \ge \frac{2}{\zeta_m} t$$

holds for $0 \le \xi, \eta \le 1$ and $(r,t) \in \Sigma_1$. Hence, the lower bound of (5.16) follows. By combining the estimates, (5.14), (5.15), (5.16) and (5.4), then (5.12) follows, completing the proof of proposition 5.2. □

By using proposition 5.2, (5.4) and (2.6), then (5.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(r,t) \ &\ge \frac{t}{\pi r^{m-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{\eta d\eta}{\sqrt{1-\eta^2}} \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{f(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{2t} - E_m \frac{f(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. -|f'(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)| + \frac{g(r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)}{2} \right\} \frac{K(r,t,\eta,\xi)d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi}\sqrt{1-\xi}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

in Σ_1. Here we note that

$$t \le r - t\eta \le r + (1-2\xi)t\eta$$

for $(r,t) \in \Sigma_1$. Since $\zeta_m \le 1$ for all $m = 2,3,4,\cdots$, then it follows that $r \ge 2t$. Thus, by returning to the original variables (5.7), then (3.10) follows. The proof of the proposition 3.3 in $n = 2m,\ m = 2,3,4,\cdots$ is now complete. □

Completion of the Proof of Lemma 3.1. Finally, we shall derive the first term in (3.2). It follows from (2.6) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(r,t) \ &\ge \frac{t}{\pi r^{m-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{\eta d\eta}{\sqrt{1-\eta^2}} \int_0^1 \frac{K(r,t,\eta,\xi)}{(1+r+t\eta-2t\eta\xi)^{\kappa+1}} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi}\sqrt{1-\xi}} \\ &\ge \frac{C_3 t}{\pi\sqrt{2}(1+r+t)^{\kappa+1}} \int_0^1 \frac{\eta d\eta}{\sqrt{1-\eta^2}} \int_0^{1/2} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{\xi}\sqrt{1-\xi}} \\ &\ge \frac{C_3 t}{\pi\sqrt{2}(1+r+t)^{\kappa+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

in Σ_1. □

6. Low dimensions: $n = 2, 3$

Proof of proposition 3.4. Let u^0 be the solution of (3.7). Then, u^0 is given by:

$$u^0(x,t) = \partial_t R(f|x,t) + R(g|x,t), \tag{6.1}$$

where R is as defined in (3.5).

First, we consider the case $n = 3$. By using (2.3), it follows from (6.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(x,t) \ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} \{f + t\omega \cdot \nabla f + tg)\}(x+t\omega) dS_\omega \\ &\ge \frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} \left\{ \frac{f}{t} - |\nabla f| + g) \right\} (x+t\omega) dS_\omega \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

for all $(x,t) \in \Sigma_2$. Then, (3.11) follows by noting $t \leq 1 + |x + t\omega|$, for all $(x,t) \in \Sigma_2$. Furthermore, we easily obtain the first term in (3.4) by substituting (2.3) into (3.11).

Next, we consider the case of $n = 2$. Here, we make the change variables: $\rho = t\xi$ in ρ-integral of (3.5). Thus,

$$R(\phi|x,t) = \frac{t}{2\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{\xi d\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}\int_{|\omega|=1}\phi(x+t\xi\omega)dS_\omega.$$

As in (6.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u^0(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{\xi d\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}\int_{|\omega|=1}\{f + t\xi\omega\cdot\nabla f + tg\}(x+t\xi\omega)dS_\omega \\ &\geq \frac{t}{2\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{\xi d\xi}{\sqrt{1-\xi^2}}\int_{|\omega|=1}\left\{\frac{f}{t} - |\nabla f| + g\right\}(x+t\xi\omega)dS_\omega \end{aligned}$$

in Σ_2. Since $t \leq 1 + |x + t\xi\omega|$ for all $(x,t) \in \Sigma_2$, then (3.12) follows, after going back to the original variables. Furthermore, we easily obtain the first term in (3.4) as in the case of $n = 3$. Therefore, the proof of lemma 3.2 is complete. □

Acknowledgement

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Professor Masashi Mizuno for showing us the way of a substitution in the decay condition (2.6). This work began while the fourth author was in his second year of the masters program at the Graduate School of Systems Information Science, Future University Hakodate, Japan.

References

1. Rentaro Agemi and Hiroyuki Takamura, *The lifespan of classical solutions to nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions*, Hokkaido Math. J. **21** (1992), no. 3, 517–542. MR 1191034 (93m:35126)
2. Fumioki Asakura, *Existence of a global solution to a semilinear wave equation with slowly decreasing initial data in three space dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **11** (1986), no. 13, 1459–1487. MR 862696 (87k:35165)
3. Luis A. Caffarelli and Avner Friedman, *The blow-up boundary for nonlinear wave equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **297** (1986), no. 1, 223–241. MR 849476 (87h:35215)
4. Vladimir Georgiev, Hans Lindblad, and Christopher D. Sogge, *Weighted Strichartz estimates and global existence for semilinear wave equations*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), no. 6, 1291–1319. MR 99f:35134
5. Robert T. Glassey, *Existence in the large for $\Box u = F(u)$ in two space dimensions*, Math. Z. **178** (1981), no. 2, 233–261. MR 631631 (84h:35106)
6. ———, *Finite-time blow-up for solutions of nonlinear wave equations*, Math. Z. **177** (1981), no. 3, 323–340. MR 618199 (82i:35120)
7. Fritz John, *Blow-up of solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions*, Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), no. 1-3, 235–268. MR 80i:35114
8. Paschalis Karageorgis, *Existence and blow up of small-amplitude nonlinear waves with a sign-changing potential*, J. Differential Equations, **219**(2005), 259-305.

9. Hideo Kubo, *On the critical decay and power for semilinear wave equations in odd space dimensions*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, **2**(1996), 173-190.
10. Hideo Kubo and Kôji Kubota, *Asymptotic behaviors of radially symmetric solutions of* $\Box u = |u|^p$ *for super critical values* p *in odd space dimensions*, Hokkaido Math. J. **24** (1995), no. 2, 287–336. MR 96g:35127
11. ———, *Asymptotic behaviors of radially symmetric solutions of* $\Box u = |u|^p$ *for super critical values* p *in even space dimensions*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **24** (1998), no. 2, 191–256. MR 1661948 (2000i:35131)
12. Kôji Kubota and Kiyoshi Mochizuki *On small data scattering for 2-dimensional semilinear wave equations*, Hokkaido Math. J. **22**(1993), 79-97.
13. Kôji Kubota, *Existence of a global solution to a semi-linear wave equation with initial data of noncompact support in low space dimensions*, Hokkaido Math. J. **22** (1993), no. 2, 123–180. MR 1226588 (94f:35088)
14. Yuki Kurokawa and Hiroyuki Takamura, *Blow-up for semilinear wave equations with a data of the critical decay having a small loss*, Rend. Instit. Mat. Trieste, **XXXV**(2003), 165-193.
15. M. A. Rammaha, *Finite-time blow-up for nonlinear wave equations in high dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **12** (1987), no. 6, 677–700. MR 879355 (88f:35094)
16. ———, *Nonlinear wave equations in high dimensions*, Differential equations and applications, Vol. I, II (Columbus, OH, 1988), Ohio Univ. Press, Athens, OH, 1989, pp. 322–326. MR 1026235
17. Jack Schaeffer, *The equation* $u_{tt} - \Delta u = |u|^p$ *for the critical value of* p, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **101** (1985), no. 1-2, 31–44. MR 824205 (87g:35159)
18. Thomas C. Sideris, *Nonexistence of global solutions to semilinear wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Differential Equations **52** (1984), no. 3, 378–406. MR 744303 (86d:35090)
19. Hiroyuki Takamura, *Blow-up for semilinear wave equations with slowly decaying data in high dimensions*, Differential Integral Equations **8** (1995), no. 3, 647–661. MR 1306581 (95h:35013)
20. Hiroyuki Takamura, Hiroshi Uesaka, and Kyouhei Wakasa, *Blow-up theorem for semilinear wave equations with non-zero initial position*, J. Differential Equations **249** (2010), no. 4, 914–930. MR 2652157 (2011f:35193)
21. Hiroyuki Takamura, Hiroshi Uesaka, and Kyouhei Wakasa, *Sharp blow-up for semilinear wave equations with non-compactly supported data*, Discrete and Countinuous Dynamical Systems-Supplement 2011, W.Feng & Z.Feng & M.Grasselli & A.Ibragimov & X.Lu & S.Siegmund & J.Voigt (eds.), "Dynamical Systems, Differential Equations and Applications" vol. II, AIMS, (2011), 1351–1357.
22. Kimitoshi Tsutaya, *A global existence theorem for semilinear wave equations with data of noncompact support in two space dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **17** (1992), no. 11-12, 1925–1954. MR 1194745 (93m:35120)
23. ———, *Global existence theorem for semilinear wave equations with noncompact data in two space dimensions*, J. Differential Equations **104** (1993), no. 2, 332–360. MR 1231473 (94g:35152)
24. ———, *Global existence and the life span of solutions of semilinear wave equations with data of noncompact support in three space dimensions*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **37** (1994), no. 1, 1–18. MR 1285478 (95f:35165)
25. Borislav T. Yordanov and Qi S. Zhang, *Finite time blow up for critical wave equations in high dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal. **231** (2006), no. 2, 361–374. MR 2195336 (2006j:35169)
26. Yi Zhou, *Blow up of solutions to semilinear wave equations with critical exponent in high dimensions*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B **28** (2007), no. 2, 205–212. MR 2316656 (2008a:35201)

Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588-0130, USA
E-mail address: mrammaha1@unl.edu

Department of Complex and Intelligent Systems, Faculty of Systems Information Science, Future University Hakodate, 116-2 Kamedanakano-cho, Hakodate, Hokkaido 041-8655, Japan
E-mail address: takamura@fun.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Technology, Nihon University, Chiyodaku Kanda Surugadai 1-8, Tokyo, 101-8308, Japan.
E-mail address: uesaka@math.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp

The 2nd year of the doctor course, Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-0810, Japan.
E-mail address: wakasa@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp